Minutes

Partnership Schools | Kura Hourua Authorisation Board Meeting 12 April 2016



Attendees	Authorisation Board (AB)
Attenuees	Catherine Isaac (CI)
	John Shewan (JS)
	Dame Iritana Tawhiwhirangi (IT)
	John Morris (JM)
	John Taylor (JT)
	Terry Bates (TB)
	Sir Toby Curtis (TC)
	Executive Director
	Julian Moore (JRM)
	Ministry of Education (MoE)
	Lisa Rodgers* (LR
	*(CH)
In	Shelley Hancock* (SH)
Attendance	Philip Stevens* (PS)
	Lisa Rodgers* (LR) s 9(2)(a) OIA
	* (DP)
	*attended for part of meeting
Apologies	Sarah Borrell (SB)

Action		Owner	Due Date	
	Minutes from 25 February 2015			
	Approved as a true and accurate account of the meeting.			
	Conflicts of interest			
	• There were no conflicts of interest raised at this meeting.			
	Matters arising s 9(2)(a) OIA			
	 It was noted that did not attend the meeting to update the Board on several actions which had been outstanding for some time. 			
	• It was noted that the Board is still awaiting the summary and analysis of the schools' 2015 Annual Reports.			
	lwi Chairs update			
12/4:01	• The meeting with the Minister was deferred and will be rescheduled. Sir Toby Curtis will provide an update at next meeting.	Sir Toby Curtis	5 May	

Minutes

Partnership Schools | Kura Hourua Authorisation Board Meeting 12 April 2016



	Chair's Update	
	The Chair gave an update on the Partnership Schools Hui, noting the main themes from the sponsors:-	
	The establishment of a dedicated partnership schools team within the Ministry and the update on the support entity were well received.	
	 Some schools are experiencing difficulty in hitting their achievement targets. 	
	 There was a high degree of interest in progression testing Sponsors questioned the appropriateness of current measures for assessing student engagement and suggested better ways be explored. 	
	 Questions were raised about the renewal of contracts – the current contract does not allow for future planning and inhibits the ability to raise finance as sponsors are only informed 6 months prior to when the contract ends whether they will be offered another 6 years. 	
	contract.	
12/4:02	The Chair commented that there may be some value for Board members to visit the schools. Julian to contact schools for possible dates.	Julian Moore
	Measuring Student Achievement	
	Philip Stevens gave a presentation on measuring student progression:	
12/4:03	It was proposed that the Board invite sponsors to put forward volunteers to join a subcommittee to assist in implementing this.	Julian Moore
	The new contract does not specify which assessment tools the schools should use, but enables a specific tool to be prescribed. The	
	Board agreed that schools could use either PAT or e-asTTle, but for national standards the schools should be required to use PACT as well.	
	Education Review Office (ERO)	
	Iona Holsted gave an update on the recent New School Assurance Reviews, noting:-	
	Overall the schools are well placed to go forward.	
	The curricula are good and the students are engaged. There is good use of physical activity and To Rec. There is good use of physical activity and To Rec.	
	 There is good use of physical activity and Te Reo. There are some areas requiring attention, however these are not 	
	exclusive to Partnership Schools (eg effective use of assessment data	
	and recruitment of good teachers, particularly in Te Reo). These	
	areas require attention across the whole schooling system, particularly in primary schools.	
	ERO have found that if the teachers are not using a moderation tool,	
12/4.04	then the quality of data is questionable.	Julian Moore
12/4.05	It was agreed that ERO should receive the Board's Annual Reports which are provided to the Minister.	Indian Manage
12/4.05	The Chair asked that a link to the ERO website be included in the PSKH website.	Julian Moore

Minutes

Partnership Schools | Kura Hourua Authorisation Board Meeting 12 April 2016



	Procurement		
	Review of Round 3 Application and Selection Process was discussed and the following points were noted:		
	It is important to ensure applicants clearly understand the criteria and quality requirements.		
	• It would have been helpful for the Board to have been advised of the budget allocation.		
	There is too long a delay between applications being received and feedback being given to applicants who are not successful.		
	It was agreed that in future the application process should include the following:		
	A much greater flow of and access to information for applicants, including much more focused seminars.		
	•	s 9(2)(f)(iv)	OIA
	 An Expression of Interest (EOI) phase. The Board would evaluate EOIs and decide whether or not submitters should be encouraged to proceed to a full application, and if so, how much time and work may be required to develop their application to the standard and quality required. 		
		s 9(2)(f)(iv) OIA
	The following matters were also discussed and noted as worth considering:		
	•		
(2)(f)(iv) (OIA— •		
	s 9(2)(a) OIA Shelley Hancock/ will do some re-modelling and come back to		
12/4:06	the Board with a revised process and some suggestions, incorporating the	Shelley Hancock/	5 May
	points discussed above.		_s 9(2)(a) O
	Any Other Business		
12/4:07	It was noted that the Board's 2015 Annual Report to the Minister had not yet been finalised. Julian Moore undertook to complete this by 22 April.	Julian Moore	22 April